International Journal of Allied Medical Sciences and Clinical Research (IJAMSCR)
IJAMSCR |Vol. 14 | Issue 4| Oct - Dec 2026
www.ijamscr.com
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61096/ijamscr.v14.iss4.2026.1888-1892

ISSN: 2347-6567

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Microbial Diagnostics: Emerging Applications, Challenges and Future Perspectives

Devanadevi K[1], B.Bharathi[2]

[1] *Assistant professor, Dept of Medical laboratory technology, Hindu Mission College of Allied Health Sciences, Chennai 600 045*
[2] *Professor, Dept of Medical laboratory technology, MMM College of Health Sciences, Chennai 600 107*

Corresponding Author: B.Bharathi
Email ID: bharathikarnan@gmail.com

Published on: 13.11.2026
Published by: Futuristic Publications
2026| All rights reserved.

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Background: Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) are emerging technologies in the field of microbial diagnostics. Conventional microbiological techniques such as microscopy, culture, biochemical identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing are time-consuming and may depend on technical expertise. AI and ML offer promising approaches for improving the speed, accuracy and consistency of microbial identification and diagnostic interpretation.

Objective: The aim of this review was to explore the emerging applications of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in microbial diagnostics, with particular emphasis on bacterial identification, microscopy, automated colony counting, MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, antimicrobial susceptibility testing and genomic analysis.

Methods: This review summarizes the applications of AI and ML in microbial diagnostics based on published literature. It focuses on different machine learning algorithms, deep learning techniques and their applications in image analysis, pathogen identification, antimicrobial resistance prediction, molecular and genomic diagnostics, and infectious disease surveillance. The potential advantages, challenges, limitations and future perspectives of these technologies were also reviewed.

Results: The review highlights that AI and ML have considerable potential to improve microbial diagnostic practices through automated image interpretation, bacterial identification, colony detection and counting, MALDI-TOF MS spectral analysis and antimicrobial resistance prediction. These technologies may reduce manual workload, improve consistency, facilitate faster interpretation of laboratory results and support antimicrobial stewardship. However, limitations such as poor data quality, algorithmic bias, lack of standardization, limited external validation, privacy concerns and difficulties in clinical integration remain important challenges.

Conclusion: Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning represent promising complementary technologies in microbial diagnostics. Their applications may improve diagnostic efficiency, consistency and laboratory workflow. However, successful implementation requires high-quality datasets, independent clinical validation, standardization, quality assurance and appropriate integration into routine laboratory practice. AI-based systems should support rather than replace trained microbiology professionals in clinical decision-making.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Machine Learning; Microbial Diagnostics; Bacterial Identification; MALDI-TOF MS; Antimicrobial Resistance; Deep Learning.

INTRODUCTION

Accurate laboratory diagnosis supports appropriate treatment, infection prevention and surveillance. Routine microbiology uses direct microscopy, culture, biochemical identification, antigen detection, molecular assays and antimicrobial susceptibility testing.[1] Although these methods are well established, several stages may be time-consuming and dependent on technical expertise. Culture-based identification may require extended incubation, while microscopy and phenotypic results can be influenced by specimen quality and observer experience.[2]

Digital laboratory platforms now generate large and complex datasets, including microscopic images, colony morphology, MALDI-TOF MS spectra, susceptibility profiles, nucleic-acid sequences and whole-genome sequencing data. AI describes computational systems that perform tasks such as pattern recognition, classification and prediction; ML is a branch of AI in which algorithms learn relationships from data. Deep learning uses multilayer neural networks and is particularly useful for image and other high-dimensional data. [3,4]

AI and ML have been investigated for pathogen identification, image interpretation, colony counting, resistance prediction and genomic analysis. These applications may improve speed and consistency, but good performance on a development dataset does not automatically establish clinical reliability. External validation across laboratories, instruments, specimen types and populations remains essential. This review summarizes major applications of AI and ML in microbial diagnostics, their potential advantages and limitations, and the requirements for safe clinical implementation. [5,8]

1. FUNDAMENTALS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING

1.1 Artificial intelligence and machine learning

AI is a broad term for computational methods used for pattern recognition, classification, prediction and decision support. Supervised learning uses labelled examples, such as isolates with known species or susceptibility results.[3] Unsupervised learning identifies patterns without predefined labels, while semi-supervised learning combines labelled and unlabelled data. Common algorithms include logistic regression, decision trees, random forests, support vector machines and neural networks.[12]

1.2 Deep learning

Deep learning uses multilayer neural networks to learn complex features from data. Convolutional neural networks are widely applied to image classification and have been investigated for microorganism recognition in microscopic images and culture plates. Performance depends on training-data quality, image quality and whether the data represent the laboratory conditions in which the model will be used.[2,6]

2. APPLICATIONS OF AI AND ML IN MICROBIAL DIAGNOSTICS

2.1 Bacterial identification

Bacterial identification commonly combines colony morphology, Gram staining, biochemical reactions and automated identification systems. AI models can analyse image, spectral and biochemical characteristics together and may help standardize interpretation or prioritize samples for review. However, culture media, incubation conditions, instruments and local organism distributions can influence results, so models must be evaluated using diverse datasets and routine laboratory conditions. [12]

2.2 Microscopy and image analysis

Digital microscopy offers opportunities for automated screening of stained preparations. Computer-vision and deep-learning models can recognize morphological patterns and have been investigated in bacterial microscopy, acid-fast bacilli detection and parasitological diagnosis. Automated screening may reduce repetitive work, but staining variation, illumination, focus, specimen preparation and microscope

configuration can affect performance. AI-assisted microscopy should complement trained laboratory professionals until reliable validation is established. [2,6]

2.3 Automated colony detection and counting

Manual colony counting is repetitive and can be affected by overlapping colonies, weak contrast and observer variation. Image-analysis systems can detect and count colonies and may also classify colony morphology or assist in culture-plate interpretation. These systems may improve consistency and reduce workload, particularly in high-throughput laboratories. Overlapping or atypical colonies remain challenging, making validation under routine conditions necessary.[4]

2.4 MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry

MALDI-TOF MS identifies microorganisms by comparing protein spectra with reference databases. ML can analyse complex spectral patterns for species identification and research into antimicrobial susceptibility prediction. Systematic reviews have reported substantial interest in these applications, but limited external validation and differences in sample preparation, instruments, preprocessing and reference databases remain important barriers to generalizability. Random forests, support vector machines and logistic regression are among the methods investigated. [14,15]

2.5 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and resistance prediction

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) guides therapy and resistance surveillance. Disk diffusion, broth dilution and validated automated systems remain established methods. AI research has examined images, growth patterns, spectra and genomic information to predict susceptibility or resistance. Earlier reliable predictions could support targeted treatment and antimicrobial stewardship, but AI predictions must not be treated as equivalent to validated phenotypic results unless performance has been demonstrated for the relevant organism, drug and clinical setting. [10]Automated AST interpretation has also been explored in proof-of-concept systems. Before clinical use, evaluation should address sensitivity, specificity, error rates, reproducibility and external performance. False-susceptible predictions may lead to ineffective treatment, whereas false-resistant predictions may encourage unnecessary broad-spectrum antimicrobial use. [13]

2.6 Molecular and genomic diagnostics

Molecular testing and whole-genome sequencing generate extensive information about pathogen identity, strain characteristics and resistance-associated determinants. ML may help identify genomic patterns associated with classification, virulence and antimicrobial resistance. However, detection of a resistance-associated gene does not always predict the observed phenotype. Reliable reference data, appropriate computational methods and validation against laboratory results are therefore necessary. [9]

2.7 Rapid pathogen detection and infectious-disease surveillance

AI-assisted interpretation of images, spectra, sensor outputs and molecular data is being explored for rapid pathogen detection, including portable and smartphone-supported platforms. If reliable and affordable, these tools may expand access to diagnostic support in resource-limited settings. AI can also analyse laboratory, genomic and epidemiological data to identify unusual patterns, resistance trends and possible outbreaks. Surveillance predictions depend on complete, representative and standardized data; reporting gaps and bias can produce misleading results. [8]

3. POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES

Potential advantages include faster processing of large datasets, automation of repetitive tasks, more consistent interpretation and recognition of complex patterns. Automated colony counting and image screening may reduce manual workload, while spectral and genomic analysis may support detailed classification. When properly validated, earlier resistance prediction could contribute to targeted therapy and antimicrobial stewardship. Portable systems may also improve access to diagnostic assistance. These benefits depend on the intended application and demonstrated clinical performance.[12]

4. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

4.1 Data quality, bias and generalizability

Models depend on accurate, sufficiently large and representative training datasets. Small or imbalanced datasets, inconsistent labels and under-representation of uncommon organisms can produce unreliable predictions. Models developed in one institution may perform less well elsewhere because of differences in populations, specimens, instruments and laboratory procedures. Independent external validation is therefore essential. [8]

4.2 Interpretability and standardization

Some complex models provide limited explanation for individual predictions, making results difficult to review. Standardization is challenging because sample preparation, staining, culture conditions, image acquisition, spectral preprocessing and sequencing pipelines vary. Documented procedures, clear criteria for uncertain results and ongoing performance monitoring are important. [6,9]

4.3 Workflow, privacy and governance

AI systems must be compatible with laboratory information systems, instruments, quality-control procedures and reporting practices. Clinical datasets require appropriate privacy and security safeguards. Governance should define responsibility for result review, error management, model updates and quality assurance. Regulatory and ethical evaluation should reflect the intended use and consequences of incorrect predictions. [6]

4.4 Clinical validation and quality assurance

Performance should be assessed using appropriate measures rather than accuracy alone. Depending on the application, sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, receiver-operating-characteristic performance and calibration may be relevant. Training, validation and test datasets should be separated to reduce overfitting. Testing should include independent laboratories, varied instruments and specimen types, uncommon organisms, susceptible and resistant isolates, and changes in technology over time. [11]

5. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Future systems may combine microscopy, MALDI-TOF spectra, genomic information and clinical context through multimodal AI. Explainable methods may make predictions easier to assess, while integration with automated culture systems could support imaging, colony recognition, identification and susceptibility workflows. Portable and point-of-care platforms may expand access if their accuracy and limitations are clearly established. Shared, well-annotated datasets and common evaluation standards could improve reproducibility. [12]The most practical near-term approach is likely to be human–AI collaboration. AI can screen data, recognize patterns and flag cases for attention, while laboratory professionals retain responsibility for contextual interpretation, quality assurance and appropriate reporting. Implementation should be guided by demonstrated clinical value rather than automation alone.[5]

6. CONCLUSION

AI and ML are emerging across microbial diagnostics, including bacterial identification, microscopy, colony counting, MALDI-TOF MS analysis, AST, genomic interpretation and infectious-disease surveillance. They may improve speed, consistency and analytical capacity, but safe implementation depends on high-quality data, external validation, standardization, interpretability, privacy protection and integration into laboratory practice. Many applications still require further evaluation before broad routine use. AI should therefore be considered a complementary decision-support technology, with trained microbiology professionals retaining responsibility for quality and clinical interpretation.

REFERENCES

1. Alsulimani A, Akhter N, Jameela F, et al. The impact of artificial intelligence on microbial diagnosis. Microorganisms. 2024;12(6):1051.
2. Asnicar F, Thomas AM, Passerini A, et al. Machine learning for microbiologists. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2024;22(4):191-205.

3. Batu NI, Kamika I, Malefetse TJ. From clinics to the environment: A systematic review of machine learning and MALDI-TOF MS in the identification of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. J Microbiol Methods. 2026;243:107414.
4. Cesaro A, Hoffman SC, Das P, et al. Challenges and applications of artificial intelligence in infectious diseases and antimicrobial resistance. NPJ Antimicrob Resist. 2025;3(1):2. doi:10.1038/s44259-024-00068-x.
5. Chaturvedi N, Yadav MK, Sharma M. Applications of artificial intelligence and machine learning in microbial diagnostics and identification. Methods Microbiol. 2024;55:213-230.
6. Khalaf WS, Morgan RN, Elkhatib WF. Clinical microbiology and artificial intelligence: Different applications, challenges, and future prospects. J Microbiol Methods. 2025;232-234:107125.
7. Lee S, Park JS, Hong JH, et al. Artificial intelligence in bacterial diagnostics and antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Current advances and future prospects. Biosens Bioelectron. 2025;280:117399.
8. López-Cortés XA, Manríquez-Troncoso JM, Kandalaft-Letelier J, et al. Machine learning and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectra for antimicrobial resistance prediction: A systematic review of recent advancements and future development. J Chromatogr A. 2024;1734:465262.
9. Marletta S, L'Imperio V, Eccher A, et al. Artificial intelligence-based tools applied to pathological diagnosis of microbiological diseases. Pathol Res Pract. 2023;243:154362.
10. Rajaonison A, Le Page S, Maurin T, et al. Antilogic, a new supervised machine learning software for the automatic interpretation of antibiotic susceptibility testing in clinical microbiology: proof-of-concept on three frequently isolated bacterial species. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2022;28(9):1286.e1-1286.e8.
11. Schmidt-Santiago L, Guerrero-López A, Sevilla-Salcedo C, et al. A systematic review of machine learning on clinical MALDI-TOF MS. Brief Bioinform. 2026;27(3):bbag208.
12. Suster CJE, Pham D, Kok J, et al. Emerging applications of artificial intelligence in pathogen genomics. Front Bacteriol. 2024;3:1326958.
13. Viboud G, Asaro H, Huang MB. Use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) to detect antibiotic resistance in bacteria: A scoping review. Am J Clin Pathol. 2024;161(4):317-328.
14. Weis CV, Jutzeler CR, Borgwardt K. Machine learning for microbial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing on MALDI-TOF mass spectra: A systematic review. ClinMicrobiol Infect. 2020;26(10):1310-1317.
15. Zhang D. Artificial intelligence applications in the diagnosis and treatment of bacterial infections. Front Microbiol. 2024;15:1449844.