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## ABSTRACT

New Spectrophotometric Q-Absorbance Ratio method has been developed for the simultaneous estimation of Arterolane maleate and Piperaquine phosphate in tablet dosage form. UV spectrophotometric method, methanol was used as a solvent.

## Objective

To develop simple, accurate, linearity, precision and reproducible UV Spectroscopic method for Arterolane maleate and Piperaquine phosphate in routine analysis.

## Method

Aliquots of stock solution were further diluted with methanol to get working solution of 2.5-8.75 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for Arterolane maleate and $12.5-43.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for Piperaquine phosphate working standards were scanned between $200-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ which shows the maximum absorbance at 276 nm .

## Results

The Iso -absorptive point was found to be 242 nm . Calibration curve were linear over a concentration range of 2.5$8.75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for Arterolane maleate and $12.5-43.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for Piperaquine phosphate. Accuracy of method was determined through recovery studies which were found $99.36 \%-101.22 \%$ for Arterolane maleate and $99.46 \%$ $100.80 \%$ for Piperaquine phosphate. Method was found to be reproducible with relative standard deviation for intraday and inter-day precision to be $<1.5 \%$.

## Conclusion

This method was found to be simple, Accurate, precise and reproducible. The proposed UV method can be applicable for the simultaneous estimation of both the drugs in tablet dosage form.
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## INTRODUCTION

Arterolane maleate (AM) is chemically known
as
[(N-(2-amino-2-methylpropyl)-2-cis-dispiro
(admantane-2, 3'-[1, 2, 4] trioxolane-5, 1"cyclohexan) $-4 "-y l]$ acetamide: maleate. Arterolane maleate is synthetic peroxide which acts as antimalarial agent by rapid acting as blood
schizonticides against all blood stages of plasmodium falciparum without having effect on liver stages. Its molecular structure is uncommon
for pharmacological compounds in that it has both an ozonide group and an adamantane substituent [1].


Fig. 1: Chemical Structure of Arterolane maleate

Piperaquine phosphate (PQP) is chemically known as 1, 3-bis [4-(7-chloroquinoline-4-yl) piperazin-1-yl] propane: Phosphoric acid. It is a
bisquinoline of an antimalarial drug, used as a prophylaxis and which shows good activity against chloroquine-resistant plasmodium strains $[2,3]$


Fig. 2: Chemical Structure of Piperaquine phosphate

Combination of AM and PQP is available in tablet dosage form in the ratio of $150: 750 \mathrm{mg}$. AM is official in Indian Pharmacopoeia 2014 [4]. PQP is official in United State Pharmacopoeia [3]. But combination of these drugs is not official in any pharmacopoeia. The combination of AM and PQP has been approved by Central Drug Standard Control Organization (CDSCO) on dated 19/10/2011 [5]. Very few methods like HPLC [6-8], Capillary zone electrophoresis[9] , LC-MS[10-13] have been reported as a single or in combination with other drugs .Literature reveals that there is no single UV spectroscopic method for AM and PQP in pharmaceutical dosage form. So, the present study aim at development of a simple, accurate, precise method for simultaneous estimation of AM and PQP in pharmaceutical dosage form by QAbsorbance Ratio method.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Apparatus and Instrument

Double beam UV- visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, model 1800 Spharmaspec) having two matched quarts cells with 1 cm light path, Electronic analytical balance, BL-220H, pH meter, LI- 610.All instruments and glass wares were calibrated.

## Reagents and Materials

Arterolane maleate and Piperaquine phosphate ( gifted by Gitar Laboratories, Ahmadabad, India) SYNRIAM Tablet (procured from local market.), Methanol AR (Merck Pvt. Ltd, India)

## Preparation of standard stock solution

10 mg of AM and 25 mg of PQP were placed in 100 ml volumetric flask and dissolved in 75 ml of Methanol and the volume was made up to the mark
with Methanol, to obtain the solution of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively.

## Preparation of working standard solution

Suitable aliquots of above solution were diluted up to the mark with methanol to get the concentration range of 2.5-8.75 for AM and 12.543.5 for PQP.

## Selection of Detection Wavelength

$\mathrm{AM}(5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ and $\operatorname{PQP}(25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ in Methanol, both the solutions were scanned over range of 390190nm against Methanol as blank, using medium scan speed. The sampling wavelength for analysis, Overlay spectra shows, Absorption maxima ( $\lambda$ max) of AM $=276 \mathrm{~nm}$, Absorption maxima ( $\lambda \max$ ) of $\mathrm{PQP}=216 \mathrm{~nm}$, Isobestic point $=242 \mathrm{~nm}$


Fig. 3: overlay zero order absorption spectra of standard solutions of AM (2.5-8.75 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ and PQP (12.5$43.75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) in methanol.

## Calibration curve for AM and PQP

To check linearity of the method, working standard solution having concentration in range of 2.5-8.75 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for $A M$ and $12.5-43.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for PQP were prepared from the standard stock solutions of both drugs. The absorbance was measured at 276 nm ( $\lambda \max$ of AM) and at 242 nm (iso-absorptive point). Calibration curves were constructed by plotting concentration vs absorbance.

## METHODOLOGY

Absorbance ratio method uses the ratio of absorbance at two selected wavelengths, one which is an iso-absorptive point and other being the $\lambda$ max of one of the two components. From the
overlay spectra of two drugs, it is evident that AM and PQP show an iso-absorptive point at 242 nm . The second wavelength was selected 276 nm , which is the $\lambda$-max of AM. Working standard solutions having concentration $2.5,3.75,5,6.25$, $8.75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for AM and $12.5,18.75,25,31.25$, $43.75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ PQP were prepared in methanol and the absorbance at 242 nm (iso-absorptive point) and 276 nm ( $\lambda$-max of AM) were measured and absorptivity coefficients were calculated using calibration curve. The concentration of two drugs in the mixture can be calculated using following equations.
$\mathrm{CX}=[(\mathrm{QM}-\mathrm{QY}) /(\mathrm{QX}-\mathrm{QY})] \times \mathrm{A} 1 / \mathrm{ax} 1 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$.
(1)
$\mathrm{CY}=[(\mathrm{QM}-\mathrm{QX}) /(\mathrm{QY}-\mathrm{QX})] \times \mathrm{A} 1 / \mathrm{ay} 1$
$\qquad$

Where, A1 and A2 are absorbances of mixture at 276 nm and 216 nm ; ax 1 and ay 1
are absorptivities of AM and PQP at 276 nm ; ax2 and ay 2 are
absorptivities of AM and PQP respectively at 216 $\mathrm{nm} ; \mathrm{QM}=\mathrm{A} 2 / \mathrm{A} 1$, $\mathrm{QX}=\mathrm{ax} 2 / \mathrm{ax} 1$ and $\mathrm{QY}=\mathrm{ay} 2 / \mathrm{ay} 1$.

## Quantitative estimation of AM and PQP in marketed Tablet Formulation

## Formulation

Label claim for content drug is as follow
Arterolane maleate: 150 mg
Piperaquine phosphate: 750 mg
Twenty tablets were finely powered. A quantity of powder equivalent was weighed and transferred to 100 ml volumetric flask. 60 ml methanol was added to the same flask and sonicated for 15 min . The volume was made up to 100 ml with methanol. The solution was first filtered using Whitman filter paper No. 41 and than through $0.45 \mu$ filters paper in order to remove the excipient. After filtration, aliquots solutions were prepared by taking 5 ml sample stock solution. Volume was made up to 100 ml with methanol to produce of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of AM and $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of PQP.

## VALIDATION OF DEVELOPED METHOD

## Linearity

The linearity of analytical method is its ability to elicit test results that are directly proportional to the concentration of analyte in sample within a given range. Linear correlation was obtained between concentration vs absorbance of AM and PQP. The Linearity spectra and calibration curves of these two drugs at 276 nm and 216 nm are
shown in Figure respectively. Calibration curve data of AM and PQP are shown in Table 1 and 2.

## Accuracy

Accuracy is the closeness of the test results obtained by the method to the true value. To study the accuracy, 20 tablets were taken and analysis of the same was carried out. Recovery studies were carried out by addition of standard drug to the sample at 3 different concentration levels ( $80 \%$, $100 \%$ and $120 \%$ ) taking into consideration percentage purity of added bulk drug samples. Results are shown in table 3 and 4.

## Precision

The precision of an analytical method is the degree of agreement among individual test results when the method is applied repeatedly to multiple samplings of homogenous sample. It provides an indication of random error in results and was expressed as \% RSD.

## Intermediate precision (Reproducibility)

Variations of results within same day and amongst days are called as reproducibility. It includes following parameter,

## Intra-day reproducibility

A variation of results within same day is called intraday variation. It was determined by repeating calibration curve 3 times on same day. Results are shown in.

## Inter-day reproducibility

Variation of results amongst day is called interday variation. It was determined by repeating calibration curve daily for 3 different days. Results are shown in.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Linearity

Table 1: linearity data for AM at 242 nm and 276 nm in methanol

| AM |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Absorbance (242nm) | Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Absorbance (276nm) |
| 2.5 | 0.262 | 2.5 | 0.181 |
| 3.75 | 0.385 | 3.75 | 0.265 |
| 5 | 0.532 | 5 | 0.375 |
| 6.25 | 0.685 | 6.25 | 0.458 |


| 8.75 | 0.882 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Correlation coefficient: 0.995 | 8.75 |
| Intercept: 0.010 | Correlation coefficient: 0.998 |
| Slope: 0.019 | Intercept: 0.043 |
| Regression Equation: $\mathrm{y}=0.019 \mathrm{x}+0.010$ | Slope: 0.052 |
| LOD: 0.432 | Regression Equation: $\mathrm{y}=0.052 \mathrm{x}+0.043$ |
| LOQ: 1.315 | LOD: 0.657 |



Fig. 4: calibration curve for AM at 242 nm


Fig. 5: calibration curve for PQP at 276 nm

Table 2: Linearity data for PQP at 242 nm and 276 nm in methanol

| PQP |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Absorbance (242nm) | Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Absorbance (276nm) |
| 12.5 | 0.132 | 12.5 | 0.06 |
| 18.75 | 0.235 | 18.75 | 0.142 |
| 25 | 0.331 | 25 | 0.258 |
| 31.25 | 0.395 | 31.25 | 0.425 |
| 43.75 | 0.585 | 43.75 | 0.574 |
| Correlation coefficient: 0.998 | Correlation coefficient: 0.990 |  |  |
| Intercept: 0.106 |  | Intercept: 0.117 |  |
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| Slope: 0.009 | Slope: 0.019 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Regression Equation: $\mathrm{y}=0.009 \mathrm{x}+0.106$ | Regression Equation: $\mathrm{y}=0.019 \mathrm{x}+0.117$ |
| LOD: 0.403 | LOD: 1.111 |
| LOQ: 1.222 | LOQ: 3.333 |

## DISCUSSION

AM and PQP were given linear response from $2.5-8.75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $12.5-43.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ in Q - Absorbance Ratio method.


Fig. 6: Calibration curve for PQP at 242 nm


Fig. 7: Calibration curve for PQP at 276 nm

## ACCURACY

Table 3: Table recovery data of AM for developed method

| \% level of <br> recovery | Concentration of <br> Sample Taken <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Concentration of <br> Pure API spiked <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Mean Total <br> Concentration <br> Found* $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | \%Recovery <br> Mean* | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^0]Table 4: recovery data of PQP for developed method

| \% level of <br> recovery | Concentration of <br> Sample Taken <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Concentration of <br> Pure API spiked <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Mean Total <br> Concentration | \%Recovery <br> Mean* | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Found* $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathbf{m l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 12.5 | 10 | 9.97 | 99.46 | 1.17 |
| 100 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.42 | 99.46 | 1.08 |
| 120 | 12.5 | 15 | 15.11 | 100.80 | 1.53 |

*denotes average of three determination

## DISCUSSION

Result reveals that \% recovery of AM and PQP was within acceptance criteria given in ICH i.e. $98-102 \%$

## METHOD PRECISION

## Intermediate precision (Reproducibility)

The intra-day and inter-day precisions of the developed method was determined by analyzing
corresponding responses in triplicate on the same day and on 3 different days over a period of 1 week for 3 different concentrations of standard solutions of AM ( $2.5,5$ and $8.75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and $\operatorname{PQP}(12.5,25$ and $43.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Results were reported in terms of \% RSD.

Table 5: Intra-day precision data for AM of 242 nm and 276 nm

| Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Absorbance at 242 nm |  | Absorbance at 276 nm |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD |
| 2.5 | $0.184 \pm 0.002$ | 1.129 | $0.194 \pm 0.002$ | 1.03 |
| 5 | $0.366 \pm 0.002$ | 0.567 | $0.359 \pm 0.003$ | 0.83 |
| 8.75 | $0.519 \pm 0.004$ | 0.778 | $0.500 \pm 0.004$ | 0.80 |

*denotes average of three determination
Table 6: Intra-day precision data for PQP of 242 nm and 276 nm

| Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Absorbance at 242 nm |  | Absorbance at 276 nm |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD |
| 12.5 | $0.133 \pm 0.001$ | 1.145 | $0.230 \pm 0.002$ | 0.86 |
| 25 | $0.335 \pm 0.004$ | 0.190 | $0.440 \pm 0.003$ | 0.68 |
| 43.5 | $0.582 \pm 0.003$ | 0.524 | $0.726 \pm 0.004$ | 0.55 |

*denotes average of three determination

Table 7: Inter-day precision for AM of 242 nm and 276 nm

| Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Absorbance at 242 $\mathbf{~ n m}$ |  | Absorbance at 276 nm |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD |
| 2.5 | $0.186 \pm 0.001$ | 0.819 | $0.197 \pm 0.001$ | 0.507 |
| 5 | $0.375 \pm 0.003$ | 0.935 | $0.365 \pm 0.002$ | 0.547 |
| 8.75 | $0.574 \pm 0.003$ | 0.611 | $0.611 \pm 0.003$ | 0.490 |

[^1]Table 8: Inter-day precision for PQP of 242 nm and 276 nm

| Concentration $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l})$ | Absorbance at 242 nm |  | Absorbance at 276 nm |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD | Mean* $\pm$ SD | \% RSD |
| 12.5 | $0.136 \pm 0.002$ | 1.841 | $0.170 \pm 0.002$ | 1.170 |
| 25 | $0.335 \pm 0.004$ | 1.240 | $0.436 \pm 0.003$ | 0.688 |
| 43.5 | $0.587 \pm 0.002$ | 0.354 | $0.754 \pm 0.001$ | 0.132 |

*denotes average of three determination

## DISCUSSION

Result reveals that SD and \% RSD of AM and PQP was within acceptance criteria given in ICH i.e. less than 1 and less than 2 respectively. So, the proposed method for estimation of AM and PQP in précised in nature.

## Quantitation estimation of $A M$ and $P Q P$ marketed formulation

The proposed method was evaluate in the assay of table formulation containing AM and PQP . Three replicate determinations were carried out on tablets. \% assay found was \% for AM and that for PQP was \%. Result is shown in table 9.

Table 9: Quantitative estimation of AM and PQP in marketed formulation

| Parameters | SYNARIAM TABLET |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | AM | PQP |
| Actual Concentration $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | 150 | 750 |
| Concentration Obtained* $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | 146.60 | 739 |
| \% Assay* | 97.74 | 98.53 |
| \%RSD * | 1.690 | 0.488 |
| Limit | $90-110 \%$ | $90-110 \%$ |

*denotes average of three determination

## DISCUSSION

\% assay of AM and PQP was found in an acceptance limit so this method could be used for analysis of this combination.

## CONCLUSION

The described method enables the quantification of AM and PQP in combined tablet dosage form. The validation data demonstrates good precision and accuracy, which prove the reliability of proposed method. This method was based on the determination of graphical absorbance at two
wavelengths, one being Iso-absorptive point for the two drugs ( 242 nm ) and the other being the wavelength of AM (276nm).

Hence, this Q- Absorbance Ratio method can be used routinely for quantitative estimation of both components in solid dosage form.
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