



International Journal of Allied Medical Sciences and Clinical Research (IJAMSCR)

IJAMSCR | Volume 9 | Issue 3 | Jul - Sep - 2021
www.ijamscr.com

ISSN:2347-6567

Research Study

Medical research

Comparison of lower extremity stretching and wobble board exercises on improving balance among geriatric population

Anwar K Nazar¹, Vinu S Raj²

¹BPT, MPT Student (Neurology), College of Physiotherapy, Medical Trust Institute of Medical Sciences, Irumpanam, Cochin-682309, India

²BPT, MPT (Neurology), Assistant Professor, College of Physiotherapy, Medical Trust Institute of Medical Sciences, Irumpanam, Cochin-682309, India

*Corresponding Author: Anwar K Nazar

Email id: anwarkudakasserrynazar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background and objective

Ageing is a physiological process accompanied by functional, morphological, biochemical and psychological changes. In geriatric population, there is deterioration in balance, postural control and gait due to impaired cognitive function, decline of sensory, visual vestibular, somatosensory input, motor responses, and musculoskeletal systems that are resulting into postural instability and fall. The number of persons above the age of 60 years is fast growing, especially in India. Falls are the leading cause of traumatic brain injury, fractures & the leading cause of emergency department visits by older adults. Low balance confidence is a major health problem among older adults restricting their participation in daily life. Even though there are many methods to improve balance, it is necessary to investigate an effective method to improve balance in geriatric population. The aim of the study is to compare between the effects of lower extremity stretching and wobble board exercise on balance in geriatric population.

Methods

Thirty subjects were selected for the study on the basis of inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria, and were assigned into two groups of 15 subjects each: Group A underwent lower extremity stretching twice daily for 10 weeks and Group B was treated with wobble board exercise for 15 minutes for 8 weeks. The outcome measures were Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Activity specific Balance Confidence (ABC) scale, Timed Up and Go (TUG) test. Using these outcome measures, pre-test and post-test values were obtained.

Results and discussion

The result was analyzed using t-test and it was found that in paired t-test in lower extremity stretching group, the Berg Balance Scale has improved significantly ($p < 0.001$), the Timed Up and Go Test has improved significantly ($p < 0.001$) and the Activity specific Balance Confidence scale has increased significantly ($p < 0.001$). In wobble board group, the Berg Balance Scale has improved significantly ($p < 0.001$), the Timed Up and Go Test has improved significantly ($p < 0.001$), the Activity specific Balance Confidence scale has increased significantly ($p < 0.001$) between pre and post intervention.

On comparing the difference in the results between the groups, the Berg Balance Scale ($p < 0.001$) and Activity specific Balance Confidence scale ($p < 0.05$) in wobble board group showed greater change than the lower extremity stretching group; Timed Up and Go Test in lower extremity stretching group showed greater but insignificant change than the wobble board group.

Conclusion

In this study, it is concluded that wobble board exercise group is better in improving Berg Balance Score and Activity specific Confidence scale when compared to the lower extremity stretching group.

Keywords: Balance, Geriatric population, Lower extremity stretching, Wobble board exercise

INTRODUCTION

Ageing is a natural process. In the words of Seneca; “Old age is an incurable disease”, but more recently; Sir James Sterling Ross commented: “You do not heal old age. You protect it; you promote it; you extent it”⁽¹⁾.

Due to aging, there are various changes which occurs in the body such as impaired cognitive function, decline in sensory, visual, vestibular, somatosensory input, motor responses and musculoskeletal systems that result in decrease of muscle strength in lower limb that contributes to postural instability and results in fall.⁽²⁾

Falls are among the leading causes of fatal and non fatal injuries in the elderly⁽³⁾. Falls are often caused by a number of factors. Risk factors may be grouped into intrinsic factors, such as existence of a specific ailment or disease, and external or extrinsic factors includes the environment and the way in which it may encourage or deter accidental falls.⁽⁴⁾.

Balance is dependent on feedback and feed forward mechanism. Feedback mechanism can be classified depending on information coming from various sensory systems of the individuals or internal stimuli called as intrinsic feedback or feedback or information coming from an external stimuli called as extrinsic feedback. Intrinsic feedback is feedback coming to an individual through the various sensory systems as a result of normal production of movement such as visual information concerning whether a movement was accurate, as well as somatosensory information concerning the position of the limbs as one was moving. Extrinsic (or augmented) feedback is information that supplements intrinsic feedback. It is the feedback or information gained by the individual through the external environment. This includes the verbal and manual feedback required for completion of task by the patient.

Inputs from external and internal environment play a vital role in deciding body’s response to a stimulus or proposed action. With age, the individuals rely more on feedback from external environment than body; as the body function is declining. Thus the individual depends more on vision to maintain balance. Improving these proprioceptive, musculoskeletal system responses can help an elderly individual to maintain balance effectively⁽⁵⁻¹¹⁾.

With the main biomechanical restriction faced by geriatric population being maintaining balance, Shumway-Cook and Brauer suggest that balance control and training helps maintain activities of daily living such as walking, placing a book on a high shelf, and cleaning, in a geriatric population^(12, 13).

Sherrington et al. recommends balance training when the population’s main goal is to reduce the risk and rate of falls⁽¹⁴⁾. Balance training with regards to fall prevention, targets improvements of postural control by challenging an individual’s body alignment of his/her center of gravity in relation to their base of support⁽¹⁵⁾.

Balance is the ability to maintain the body’s centre of mass (COM) within the limits of the base of support (BOS). Depending on the motor task, people use 3 different strategies to maintain their upright posture. These are known as ankle, hip, and step strategies. Both hip and ankle strategies involve activation of the hip and ankle muscles opposite to the direction of the perturbation⁽¹⁶⁾. When the amplitude of the perturbation is too large, the step strategy is utilized. The step strategy is performed by taking a step in the direction of the perturbation, although the base of

support is realigned under the COM. This allows maintenance of the COM within the base of support preventing external forces to disturb balance and thus maintain upright posture^(16, 17, 18).

METHODOLOGY

Study setting

- Snehajwala Old Age Home, Padalikkad.
- Karunya Geriatric Care Centre, Karingarapulli.
- Pavitratha Old Age Home, Nenmara.

Sample size

- N = 30
- 15 in each group (Group A and Group B).

Inclusion criteria

- Age- 60 to 70 years
- Both male and female.
- Berg Balance Scale of 35-45.
- Timed Up and Go test- not below 15 seconds.

Exclusion criteria

- Severe cognitive impairment that prevented them from understanding instructions.
- Severe musculoskeletal, neurological, or visual impairment that might affect measurements.
- Involvement in other exercise programs.
- Unstable physical and mental condition.
- Any Neurological problems- Damaged CNS, spinal problems, vestibular conditions, visual disability and hearing problems.

Sampling procedure

The total study duration was 3 months. 30 subject (both male and females), age 60- 70 years taken for the study who satisfied the inclusion criteria. The subjects divided equally into two groups, Group A and Group B using randomized method. Each group consists of 15 subjects. Group A received lower extremity stretching twice daily for 10 weeks. Group B received wobble board exercise 15 minutes for 8 weeks. The outcome measures were assessed using Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Timed Up and Go (TUG) Test, Activity specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale. Pre treatment score was taken a day before the treatment session and post treatment score was taken the day after the completion of treatment session.

METHODS

Outcome measures

- Static and Dynamic balance: Berg Balance Scale (BBS)
- Basic Mobility Skills: Timed Up and Go (TUG) Test
- Functional Balance: Activity specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale

Methods of data collection

Materials used

- Wobble board
- Chair

- Stopwatch
- Measuring tape
- Tape
- Ruler
- Stool

Intervention procedure
Stretching procedure

The treatment included performing hip flexor, hamstring and gastronomies stretching exercises. The subjects used their own body weight rather than the force of an external weight or an assisting person. Subjects were instructed to perform 4 sets of stretches, holding each stretch for 30 seconds and alternating the right and left limb (8 stretches in total). The stretching exercises proceeded and were followed by a warm-up and cool-down period. The warm-up period consisted of:

- (1) Sidestepping to the right and then to the left 4 times in each direction,
- (2) 3 sets of walking forward 3 steps, clapping, and walking backward 3 steps and clapping, and
- (3) Holding on to a chair for balance, 4 sets of lifting the right knee up and then the left knee.

The cool-down period was consisted of:

- (1) Taking a deep breath in while bringing both arms over the head and letting the breath out while bringing the arms back down.

Demographic information

Table 1: Mean value of age/height/weight/BMI

Variables	Group A	Group B
Age	67.86	66.33
Height	140.93	150.4
Weight	40.6	43.66
BMI	20.45	19.34

The mean age for the lower extremity stretching group was **67.86** and the mean age for the wobble board exercise group was **66.33**. The mean height for the lower extremity stretching group was **140.93** and the mean height for the wobble board group was **150.4**. The mean Weight for the lower extremity stretching group was **40.6** and the mean weight for the wobble board group was **43.66**. The mean BMI for the lower extremity stretching group was **20.45** and the mean BMI for the wobble board group was **19.34**.

Statistical analysis of BBS (Berg Balance Scale) using t-tests

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare Pre-test Post-test BBS in Group A

Table 2: Paired t test for BBS in Group A

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Change	N	T	df	p-value
Pre-test	44	1.51	6.33	15	15.44	14	< 0.001
Post-test	50.33	1.68					

Since the *t-value*, 15.44 shows $p < 0.001$, there is a significant difference existing between the pre-test and post-test BBS scores among geriatric population in group A. This proves the effect of lower extremity stretching to improve the BBS score.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare Pre-test Post-test Berg Balance Scale in group B

Table 3: Paired t test for BBS in Group B

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Change	N	T	df	p-value
Pre-test	43.47	1.81	8.73	15	17.07	14	< 0.001

Post-test	52.2	1.15
-----------	------	------

Since the *t-value*, 17.07 shows $p < 0.001$, there is a significant difference existing between the pre-test and post-test Berg Balance Scale scores among geriatrics in group B. This proves the effect of wobble board exercise to improve the balance.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare the pre-test BBS between Group A and B using t-test

Table 4: Unpaired t test for pre test BBS between Group A and B

Group	Pre-test Mean	S.D.	Difference in mean	N	T	Df	p-value
Group A	44.00	1.51	0.53	30	0.877	28	0.388
Group B	43.47	1.80					

Since the *t-value* 0.877, shows $p\text{-value} > 0.05$, there is no significant difference in pre-test BBS scores between group A and B. So we can consider the groups as homogenous in the baseline level.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare the post-test BBS scores between Group A and B using t-test

Table 5: Unpaired t test for post test BBS between Group A and B

Group	Post-test Mean	S.D.	Difference in mean	N	T	Df	p-value
Group A	50.33	1.67	1.87	30	3.56	28	< 0.001
Group B	52.20	1.14					

Since the *t-value* 3.56, shows $p\text{-value} < 0.001$, there is a significant difference in post-test BBS scores between group A and B. The scores in group B are significantly higher than that in the lower extremity stretching group. Hence wobble board exercise is effective in improving Berg Balance Scale among geriatric population.

Statistical analysis of TUGT (Timed Up and Go Test) using t-tests

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare Pre-test Post-test TUGT in Group A

Table 6: Paired t test of TUGT in Group A

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Change	N	T	df	p-value
Pre-test	15.87	1.13	3.73	15	14.0	14	< 0.001
Post-test	12.13	1.73					

Since the *t-value*, 14.0 shows $p < 0.001$, there is a significant difference existing between the pre-test and post-test TUGT scores among geriatric population in group A. This proves the effect of lower extremity stretching exercise to improve the TUGT score.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare Pre-test Post-test TUGT score in Group B

Table 7: paired t test for TUGT in Group B

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Change	N	T	df	p-value
Pre-test	16.2	1.01	5.2	15	13.24	14	< 0.001
Post-test	11.0	1.85					

Since the *t-value*, 13.24 shows $p < 0.001$, there is a significant difference between the pre-test and post-test TUGT score among geriatric population in group B. This proves the effect of wobble board exercise to improve the TUGT score.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare the pre-test TUGT scores between Group A and B using t-test

Table 8: Unpaired t test for pre test TUGT between Group A and B

Group	Pre-test Mean	S.D.	Difference in mean	N	T	Df	p-value
Group A	15.86	1.12	0.33	30	0.852	28	0.401
Group B	16.20	1.01					

Since the *t-value* 0.852, shows *p-value* > 0.05, there is no significant difference in pre-test TUGT scores between group A and B. So we can consider the groups as homogenous in the baseline level.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare the post-test TUGT scores between Group A and B using t-test

Table 9: Unpaired t test of post test TUGT between Group A and B

Group	Post-test Mean	S.D.	Difference in mean	N	t	Df	p-value
Group A	12.13	1.72	1.13	30	1.734	28	0.094
Group B	11.0	1.85					

Since the *t-value* 1.734, shows *p-value* > 0.05, there is no significant difference in post-test TUGT scores between the group A and B.

Statistical analysis of ABC (Activity specific Balance Confidence) scale using t-tests

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare Pre-test Post-test Activity specific Balance Confidence (ABC) score in Group A

Table 10: Paired t test of ABC in Group A

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Change	n	T	df	p-value
Pre-test	89.45	3.28	4.18	15	20.64	14	< 0.001
Post-test	93.62	3.43					

Since the *t-value*, 20.64 shows $p < 0.001$, there is a significant difference existing between the pre-test and post-test ABC score among geriatric population in group A. This proves the effect of lower extremity stretching to improve the ABC score.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare Pre-test Post-test ABC score in Group B

Table 11: Paired t test for ABC in Group B

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Change	N	T	df	p-value
Pre-test	87.22	3.01	8.8	15	13.69	14	< 0.001
Post-test	96.02	2.32					

Since the *t-value*, 13.69 shows $p < 0.01$, there is a significant difference existing between the pre-test and post-test ABC score among geriatric population in the group B. This proves the effect of wobble board exercise to improve the ABC score.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare the pre-test ABC scores between Group A and B using t-test

Table 12: Unpaired t test of pre test ABC between Group A and B

Group	Pre-test Mean	S.D.	Difference in mean	n	T	df	p-value
Group A	89.44	3.27	2.23	30	1.94	28	0.062
Group B	87.21	3.00					

Since the *t-value* 1.94, shows *p-value* > 0.05, there is no significant difference in pre-test ABC scores between group A and B. So we can consider the groups as homogenous in the baseline level.

Mean, S.D. and t-value to compare the post-test ABC scores between Group A and B using t-test**Table 13: Unpaired t test for post test ABC between Group A and B**

Group	Post-test Mean	S.D.	Difference in mean	N	t	Df	p-value
Group A	93.62	3.43	2.39	30	2.24	28	< 0.05
Group B	96.02	2.31					

Since the *t-value* 2.24, shows *p-value* < 0.05, there is a significant difference in post-test ABC scores between group A and B. The scores in group B are significantly higher than that in group A. Hence wobble board exercise is effective in improving ABC score among geriatric population.

DISCUSSION

The results showed that, lower extremity stretching exercise significantly improved Berg Balance Scale, Timed Up and Go Test and Activity specific Balance Confidence scale in geriatric population.

In this study, the Berg Balance Scale score for lower extremity stretching exercise group showed significant change, from 44 to 50.33 ($p < 0.001$). Timed Up and Go Test score showed significant change, from 15.87 to 12.13 ($p < 0.001$). Activity specific Balance Confidence scale showed significant increase from 89.45 to 93.62 ($p < 0.001$).

Normal functioning of the musculoskeletal system is imperative for balance maintenance. The decreased flexibility in the elderly also decreases their ability to recover quickly from a perturbation. Lack of necessary range of motion (ROM) would decrease the effectiveness of hip and ankle strategies. If a person is unable to counteract a perturbation due to lack of flexibility and lack of appropriate ROM, the perturbation may result in fall. Prior research has shown that there is a correlation between short hip and ankle muscles and increased falls in the elderly.^(19, 20) Stretching is commonly utilized to stretch the muscle and increase the ROM around the joint and theorized to improve balance performance^(21, 22, 23, 24, 25).

The reasons for improvement in balance in stretching can be attributed to the below theory. Stretching might have induced changes in both peripheral neural (proprioception) and mechanical output (musculotendinous unit or stiffness) affecting the ability to adapt adequately to the stability challenges⁽²⁶⁾. The prolonged static- stretching protocol may have reduced the stiffness of the joint, fascia, and musculo-tendinous unit, thus hindering balance. These changes might affect the muscle afferent input to the central nervous system and the muscle output during balance.

The results also showed that, wobble board exercise significantly improved Berg Balance Scale, Timed Up and Go Test and Activity specific Balance Confidence scale in geriatric population.

The Berg Balance Scale score for wobble board exercise group showed significant change, from 43.47 to 52.2 ($p < 0.001$). The change in the score is due to the improvement occurring in the strength of lower extremity. Waddington GS. Adams RD conducted the study on the effects of wobble-board training on ability to discriminate between different extents of ankle inversion movements on 20 elderly healthy of aged groups 65 to 85 years for 5-week wobble board exercise intervention was given. They conclude that training with a wobble board provides and improves the ability of movements into ankle inversion on to discriminate different degrees of ankle inversion⁽²⁷⁾.

Timed Up and Go Test score for wobble board exercise group showed significant change, from 16.2 to 11.0 ($p < 0.001$). The improvement in the TUGT may attribute to improvement in muscle strength and flexibility among elderly individuals.

Activity specific Balance Confidence scale for wobble board exercise group showed significant increase from 87.22 to 96.02 ($p < 0.001$).

ABC scale measures the functional balance and also assesses confidence level among participants. Wobble board training is effective for elderly people to improve their standing balance, by which they frequently control their center of gravity and maintain a standing posture on unstable surface conditions

Wobble board exercise provides information about the motor strategies (i.e. ankle, hip, and stepping strategies) and associated with muscle activation patterns that result when a person is standing on a wobble board surface, that unexpectedly translates or tilts which stimulates proprioception on the ankle joint strategy.⁽²⁸⁾

Balance involves the interaction of automatic postural and voluntary motor commands of both the trunk and limb musculature.^(29, 30) Automatic postural responses are modulated by both trunk and leg inputs⁽³¹⁾, with the central nervous system (CNS) performing anticipatory postural adjustments when expecting self-inflicted postural perturbations.⁽³²⁾ Because under conditions of high instability the CNS may suppress anticipatory postural adjustments, voluntary responses of trunk and limb muscles to postural challenges would play a prominent role. Stretch-induced changes to either the afferent limb muscle responses (proprioception) or the mechanical output would be expected to affect the ability to adapt effectively to stability challenges.

From this study it is found that group which had received wobble board exercise had a better effect in improving Berg Balance Scale and Activity specific Balance Confidence scale than the other group which had received lower extremity stretching exercise. Timed Up and Go Test had greater but insignificant improvement in lower extremity stretching exercise group than in wobble board exercise group.

Hence the discussion can be concluded that wobble board exercises can improve Berg Balance Scale and Activity specific Balance Confidence scale when compared to lower extremity stretching exercise among geriatric population.

Strength of the study

- Number of participants was equal in both groups
- Participants independently committed to the

treatment sessions.

- Enhance the cost- effectiveness of the program.

Limitations of the study

- Only short- term effects being evaluated
- As the measurements were taken manually, this may introduce human error, which could threaten the study's reliability.
- Inability to perform blinding.
- Administering the ABC to this population proved to be problematic. The participants often did not perform all the tasks listed on the ABC and, therefore, they were unable to rate their confidence. For example, item 16 of the ABC.

Future research

- The sample size of subjects should be increased; hence it may lead to a better valuable result.
- The treatment sessions of the study should be increased. It may lead to better and valuable result.
- A follow-up study could ensure the long-term effect of the treatment program.
- Another outcome measuring tools can be used. Example- BMI.

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to know the effect of lower extremity stretching and wobble board exercise on balance in geriatric population.

In the statistical analysis Students't' test was used for the calculation of the results. Paired't' test was used for

the intra group comparison of pre and post test results. Independent't' test was used for the inter group comparison. Result of my study indicates that the geriatric population benefited from both interventions; lower extremity stretching and wobble board exercise. In paired t test both group shows significant improvement in Berg Balance Scale, Timed Up and Go Test and Activity specific Balance Confidence scale. However, the BBS and ABC improved better in wobble board exercise group than the lower extremity stretching group. But statistical analysis shows no better significance between groups for TUGT. So, both interventions are effective in improving TUGT in geriatric population.

So, I conclude that both lower extremity stretching and wobble board exercises have significant effect on balance among geriatric population. And wobble board exercise is more effective than lower extremity stretching in improving balance. So, these are a major contribution to the prevention of falls in geriatric population.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

SOURCE OF FUNDING

Self

ETHICAL CLEARANCE

Approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Medical Trust Hospital on 8th May 2019. Ref No. MTH/MPT/EC/1203/19

REFERENCES

1. K.Park. Park's textbook of preventive and social medicine. 23rd ed. preventive medicine in obstetrics, paediatrics and geriatrics. p. 594
2. Liaw MY, Chen CL, Pei YC, Leong CP, Lau YC. Comparison of the static and dynamic balance performance in young, middle-aged, and elderly healthy people. *Chang Gung Med J.* 2009 May; 32(3):297-304.
3. Das CP, Joseph S. Falls in elderly. *Journal of the Indian Medical Association.* 2005;103(3):136-8.
4. Bishop MD, Patterson TS, Romero S, Light KE. Improved fall-related efficacy in older adults related to changes in dynamic gait ability. *Physical therapy.* 2010 Nov 1;90(11):1598-606.
5. Lindemann U, Rupp K, Muche R, Nikolaus T, Becker C. Improving balance by improving motor skills. *Zeitschrift für Gerontologie und Geriatrie.* 2004 Feb 1;37(1):20-6.
6. Beebe JA, Hines RW, McDaniel LT, Shelden BL. An isokinetic training program for reducing falls in a community-dwelling older adult: a case report. *Journal of geriatric physical therapy.* 2013 Jul 1;36(3):146-53.
7. Chou CH, Hwang CL, Wu YT. Effect of exercise on physical function, daily living activities, and quality of life in the frail older adults: a meta-analysis. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation.* 2012 Feb 1;93(2):237-44.
8. Au-Yeung SS, Ho HP, Lai JW, Lau RW, Wong AY, Lau SK. Did mobility and balance of residents living in private old age homes improve after a mobility exercise programme? A pilot study. *Hong Kong Physiotherapy Journal.* 2002 Jan 1;20(1):16-21.
9. Choi JH, Moon JS, Song R. Effects of Sun-style Tai Chi exercise on physical fitness and fall prevention in fall-prone older adults. *Journal of advanced nursing.* 2005 Jul;51(2):150-7.
10. Faber MJ, Bosscher RJ, Paw MJ, van Wieringen PC. Effects of exercise programs on falls and mobility in frail and pre-frail older adults: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation.* 2006 Jul 1;87(7):885-96.
11. Horak FB. Postural orientation and equilibrium: what do we need to know about neural control of balance to prevent falls? *Age and ageing.* 2006 Sep 1; 35(suppl 2):ii7-11.
12. Shumway-Cook A, Brauer S, Woollacott M. Predicting the probability for falls in community-dwelling older adults using the Timed Up & Go Test. *Physical therapy.* 2000 Sep 1;80(9):896-903.
13. Alfieri FM, Riberto M, Abril-Carreres A, Boldó-Alcaine M, Rusca-Castellet E, Garreta-Figuera R, Battistella LR. Effectiveness of an exercise program on postural control in frail older adults. *Clinical interventions in aging.* 2012;7:593.

14. Sherrington C, Whitney JC, Lord SR, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Close JC. Effective exercise for the prevention of falls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2008 Dec;56(12):2234-43.
15. Hrysomallis C. Balance ability and athletic performance. *Sports medicine*. 2011 Mar 1;41(3):221-32.
16. Lundin-Olsson L, Nyberg L, Gustafson Y. Stops walking when talking as a predictor of falls in elderly people. *Lancet*. 1997 Mar 1;349(9052):617.
17. Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. *New England journal of medicine*. 1988 Dec 29;319(26):1701-7.
18. Province MA, Hadley EC, Hornbrook MC, Lipsitz LA, Miller JP, Mulrow CD, Ory MG, Sattin RW, Tinetti ME, Wolf SL, Schechtman KB. The effects of exercise on falls in elderly patients: a preplanned meta-analysis of the FICSIT trials. *Jama*. 1995 May 3;273(17):1341-7.
19. Rubenstein LZ, Josephson KR, Trueblood PR, Loy S, Harker JO, Pietruszka FM, Robbins AS. Effects of a group exercise program on strength, mobility, and falls among fall-prone elderly men. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2000 Jun 1; 55(6):M317-21.
20. Winter DA, Patla AE, Frank JS, Walt SE. Biomechanical walking pattern changes in the fit and healthy elderly. *Physical therapy*. 1990 Jun 1;70(6):340-7.
21. Gillespie LD, Gillespie WJ, Robertson MC, Lamb SE, Cumming RG, Rowe BH. Interventions for preventing falls in elderly people. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2003(4).
22. Carmeli E, Kessel S, Coleman R, Ayalon M. Effects of a treadmill walking program on muscle strength and balance in elderly people with Down syndrome. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2002 Feb 1;57(2):M106-10.
23. Behm DG, Bambury A, Cahill F, Power K. Effect of acute static stretching on force, balance, reaction time, and movement time. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2004 Aug 1;36(8):1397-402.
24. Godges JJ, MacRae H, Longdon C, Tinberg C, MacRae P. The effects of two stretching procedures on hip range of motion and gait economy. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*. 1989 Mar;10(9):350-7.
25. Decoster LC, Cleland J, Altieri C, Russell P. The effects of hamstring stretching on range of motion: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*. 2005 Jun;35(6):377-87.
26. Lima BN, Lucareli PR, Gomes WA, Silva JJ, Bley AS, Hartigan EH, Marchetti PH. The acute effects of unilateral ankle plantar flexors static-stretching on postural sway and gastrocnemius muscle activity during single-leg balance tasks. *Journal of sports science & medicine*. 2014 Sep; 13(3):564.
27. Waddington GS, Adams RD. The effect of a 5-week wobble board exercise intervention on ability to discriminate different degrees of ankle inversion, barefoot and wearing shoes: a study in healthy elderly. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2004 Apr; 52(4):573-6.
28. Carolyn K, Lynn AC. *Therapeutic Exercise*. 5thed. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers; 2007. p. 253-257.
29. Bloem BR, Allum JH, Carpenter MG, Honegger F. Is lower leg proprioception essential for triggering human automatic postural responses? *Experimental brain research*. 2000 Feb 1; 130(3):375-91.
30. Shiratori T, Latash M. The roles of proximal and distal muscles in anticipatory postural adjustments under asymmetrical perturbations and during standing on rollerskates. *Clinical neurophysiology*. 2000 Apr 1; 111(4):613-23.
31. Bishop D, Bonetti D, Dawson B. The effect of three different warm-up intensities on kayak ergometer performance. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2001 Jun; 33(6):1026-32.
32. Aruin AS, Forrest WR, Latash ML. Anticipatory postural adjustments in conditions of postural instability. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology/Electromyography and Motor Control*. 1998 Aug 1; 109(4):350-9.

How to cite this article: Anwar K Nazar, Vinu S Raj.. Comparison of lower extremity stretching and wobble board exercises on improving balance among geriatric population. *Int J of Allied Med Sci and Clin Res* 2021; 9(3): 606-613.

Source of Support: Nil. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.